UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/802,409	03/16/2004	Paul N. Stoving	08215-540001 / 3843 P03-026853	
26171 FISH & RICH	7590 02/09/2007	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 1022	2	FISHMAN, MARINA		
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2832	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/09/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

B

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/802,409	STOVING ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Marina Fishman	2832	

	Marina Fishman	2832					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL							
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
AMENDMENTS		20					
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for 							
appeal; and/or	tter form for appear by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues to				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	•				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	:·						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	·	•	_				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is profit the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 1-5,8,27 and 28. Claim(s) objected to: 22. Claim(s) rejected: 18,24 and 26. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		ll be entered and an o	explanation of				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d sufficient reasons why the affidav	it or other evidence is	s necessary and				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	overcome all rejections under appear	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.							
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:							
See Continuation Sheet.	(PTO/SR/08) Paper No(e)						
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
	· ·						

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Introduction of a second encapsulation material (claims 24 and 25) without introduction a first encapsulation material makes claims vague and indefinite. With regards Claims 18, 22, 24 and 25 the rejection is still proper.

ELVIN ENAD

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER